THE NEW ZEALAND FISHERIES
(FOREIGN CHARTER VESSELS AND OTHER MATTERS)
AMENDMENT ACT

“Once vessels are flagged to New Zealand the full range of New Zealand law including employment relations and workplace health and safety law will automatically apply and be enforceable.”

Hon NATHAN GUY (Minister for Primary Industries)
14 February 2013

Introduction

Over the last decade, the New Zealand fishing industry has been shaken by a series of high profile allegations of the abuse and exploitation of foreign crews working on foreign fishing vessels within New Zealand’s waters.

Specifically, high level reports have highlighted issues of sexual and physical abuse, minimal pay, and debt slavery. Following a series of non-legislative measures, the Government of New Zealand has responded with the Fisheries (Foreign Charter Vessels and Other Matters) Amendment Act, which came into force on 1 May 2016¹.

This Act requires any foreign fishing vessel operating in New Zealand waters to reflag as a New Zealand ship, removing their right to fish in New Zealand waters until they do so.

According to the Government, this measure is intended to help identify issues of forced labour earlier, and to ensure New Zealand employment law is applied uniformly at sea².

What is certain is that dramatic action was and is still needed.

In the first reading of the Act, the Government acknowledged that forced labour in the fishing industry has resisted successive Government measures for at least the last two decades³. Indeed, numerous independent organisations have produced data suggesting abuse has become endemic.

An analysis by Bloomberg estimates that approximately 40% of squid exported from New Zealand has been caught on a vessel using forced labour, as well as 15% of Hoki exports, and 8% of Southern Blue Whiting⁴. The issue was also highlighted dramatically by the US State Department’s 2012 Annual Report on Human Trafficking, which identified New Zealand fishing as a destination industry for regional forced labour⁵.

Alongside the data, a number of high profile incidents have helped to push the issue of slavery at sea into the news.

The Melilla 203

In 2012, the major US magazine Bloomberg Businessweek ran an expose of the New Zealand Fishing industry, entitled “The Fishing Industry’s Cruelest Catch⁶,” which summarised Benjamin Skinner’s "Fishing as Slaves on the High Seas". This piece follows Yusril (not his real name) on his journey from an agency in Indonesia to working as a slave on the Melilla 203, a South Korea flagged ship that trawls in the waters surrounding New Zealand.

Yusril, whose story is corroborated by several of his shipmates, endured eight months aboard the Melilla, during which time the Indonesian crew were subject to repeated physical and sexual abuse by the ship’s operators.

The contract he had signed to get the work included a $3,500 penalty, if he were to leave the ship, a sum that was more than his net worth, and was secured against the title to his land.

---

³ Ibid.
⁶ Skinner 2012.
Unable to leave, the crew worked up to thirty (30) hour shifts, causing dozens of injuries to crew-members, some of which were crippling. When deductions, agency fees, and a manipulated exchange rate were taken into account, the fisherman averaged only around $1 per hour, far less than the $12 required by New Zealand law.

After eight months all but four of the crew of the Melilla left the ship, while it was docked in New Zealand, in protest at their treatment. Aided by lawyers, pro bono, they demanded their unpaid wages and condemned the months of human rights abuses they had suffered. Finally, according to Skinner, most were coerced into singing documents that waived their claims in return for payments between $500 and $1000 - far less than the $260 a month plus bonuses they had been promised.

**Dong won Fisheries**

The scale of the endemic exploitation of foreign workers is well illustrated by litigation currently pending against industry giant Dong Won Fisheries.

Over 200 Indonesian fishermen who worked off New Zealand are currently suing their former employers for almost $14 million in unpaid wages⁷. The sailors allege that they received less than $600 a month, despite working an average of 12 hours a day, and 20 hour shifts during peak season. While operating on only four hours sleep the workers were often physically and verbally abused by their supervisors.

Dong Won Fisheries is by no means an isolated case. The following chronology of events gives an indication of the breadth of the current problem.

---

## Chronology of key events and examples of reported cases of abuse

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Morris publishes a study identifying the New Zealand Fishing Industry as ‘home to some of the worst examples of abuse in the workplace’. The report goes on to highlight ‘fraudulent documentation, exploitation, intimidation, coercion, inhumane working conditions, sexual assault, and even murder’.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>An investigation by the New Zealand Department of Labour is triggered by 150 foreign crew abandoning their vessels when they docked. The subsequent report confirmed appalling exploitation of foreign workers, and lead to the introduction of a non-binding code of practice in 2006.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Six Indonesian fishermen seek refuge from the Korean vessel <em>Melilla 203</em> citing mistreatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ten Indonesian Fishermen flee the Korean Vessel <em>Sky 75</em> claiming physical and mental abuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The entire crew of the <em>Melilla 201</em> jump ship, helping to reveal a ‘<em>history of death, injury and pollution on that ship and its sister ship, the Melilla 203</em>’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Nine Indonesian fishermen flee the Korean vessel <em>Marinui</em> claiming physical and mental abuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>15 Indonesian fishermen flee the Korean vessels <em>Shin Ji</em> and the <em>Melilla 201</em> claiming physical and verbal abuse, the non-payment of wages, and long shifts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>The 38-year-old Korean fishing boat <em>Oyang 70</em> sank in calm conditions off the coast of Otago. Six men died, when the captain refused to cut loose an enormous 120-tonne catch, causing the ship to roll and sink as the haul was brought in. The subsequent inquiry interviews the survivors and families of the victims, and uncovers systematic abuse and exploitation within the industry.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Seven Indonesian Crew walk off the Korean <em>Shin Ji</em> in Auckland citing verbal, psychological, contract abuse, and inhumane punishments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All 32 Indonesian crew on the Korean flagged <em>Oyang 75</em> walk off the ship alleging sexual and physical abuse. The ship would later face 26 charges of dumping fish.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A string of incidents and allegations involving Korean vessels prompted the Korean Government to send an inter-departmental delegation to New Zealand to investigate concerns with Korean-owned fishing ships.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Christina Stringer, Daren Coulston, and Glenn Simmons, publish their paper ‘Not in New Zealand’s water’s surely?’ The document provides evidence of numerous cases of abuse and coercion among the fishermen on New Zealand’s 27 foreign charter vessels. The report prompted the government to launch a joint ministerial inquiry.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>A New Zealand joint ministerial inquiry in concludes that Korean fishing charters were damaging New Zealand's international reputation, and sets out a series of legislative and non-legislative proposals. This report later provides the basis for the <em>Fisheries (Foreign Charter Vessels and Other Matters) Amendment Act</em>.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The US State Department criticised New Zealand for being a destination country for regional forced labour in its 2012 Annual Report on Human Trafficking(^\text{17}).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The <strong>Fisheries (Foreign Charter Vessels and Other Matters) Amendment Act</strong> is voted into law. The Act requires changes ‘to protect the human rights of crews, and ensure that New Zealand’s reputation as a responsible and sustainable fishing nation is maintained’. From 2016, all Foreign Charter Vessels are required to carry the New Zealand flag and operate under full New Zealand Jurisdiction. Foreign Charter Vessels are given a 4-year period to make the transition.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^\text{17}\) US Department of State, (2012).
Impact of the legislation

While the legislation has formed an important part of the government’s response, it must be viewed in conjunction with the non-legislative measures that have also been put in place. By far the most important of these measures are:

- Compulsory individual New Zealand bank accounts for crew-members;
- Observers stationed on all foreign-owned fishing vessels;

Independent audits of charter parties to ensure crew visa requirements, including wages, are being respected\(^{18}\).

It is reported that nine vessels have been re-flagged, three were in the process of reflagging and cannot fish in New Zealand waters until they have done so. The Government has issued a statement explain that nine further vessels have decided not to continue fishing in New Zealand waters. The nine that had reflagged are from Japan, Korea, Ukraine and the Commonwealth of Dominica\(^{19}\).

Reacting to this news, the Government maintained that reflagging was the only measure that went far enough to guarantee protection. The Minister for Primary Industry remained adamant on this point, recently re-emphasising that the Government believes “Reflagging will place foreign charter vessels under the responsibility and control of New Zealand. It will hold domestic operators accountable for the employment of crew, ensuring that New Zealand’s criminal law applies in full, and will resolve possible trade issues and reputational concerns.”

Finally, the Minister concluded that: “once vessels are flagged to New Zealand the full range of New Zealand law including employment relations and workplace health and safety law will automatically apply and be enforceable”\(^{20}\).

Despite these benefits, the move was not without risk. Foreign chartered vessels make up about $302 million of New Zealand's annual $1.53 billion seafood exports, and the government has previously acknowledged that the shift will have "uncertain economic impacts" such as losing access to vessels with a lower value attached to the annual catch entitlement, and increased operating costs causing smaller domestic companies to become unprofitable\(^{21}\).

---


\(^{20}\) Ibid.

\(^{21}\) Ibid.
In addition, several major New Zealand fisheries came out in fierce opposition to the Act, despite supporting the principal of ensuring New Zealand jurisdiction applied uniformly to all of the ships in the area. Indeed, this initial opposition was so stringent that the New Zealand Parliament’s Primary Production Select Committee quickly proposed giving the Minister for Primary Industries the power to grant exemptions to reflagging.\(^{22}\)

As the economic impact of the Act begins to be felt this year, the resolve of the New Zealand legislators and enforcement mechanisms will be tested.
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